A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at <u>www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist</u>.

Reference of report	TRANSCRANIAL DIRECT CURRENT STIMULATION (TDCS) FOR STROKE REHABILITATION
Organization (acronym)	MaHTAS
Name of person completing the checklist	SYFUL AZLIE MD FUZI
Email	syful.azlie@moh.gov.my

ltem	m							Yes Partly		
Preliminary						-				
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							\checkmark			
2. Authors identified?							\checkmark			
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							\checkmark			
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?							\checkmark			
5. Short summary in non-technical language?										\checkmark
Why?										
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?										
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?										
8. Scope of the assessment specified?										
9. Description of the assessed health technology?										
How?						•				
_	urces of	informati	on and literature	search	strategies p	rovide	d?			
Search				anguage		Primary			Other kind of	
strategy			range	re	estriction		data √		information resources	
al		J	al	2						
Complete refere	nce list	•	List of	Inclusion				E	Exclusion	
of included studies		excluded studies			criteria		criteria			
\checkmark			-	- v			\checkmark			
		or the as	sessment and int				ita and	inforr	mation	?
Method of data		Critical appraisal			Method of data		Results of the			
extraction described?		method (for quality assessment of the		syn	synthesis described?		assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form			
		literature) described?					of evidence tables?			
\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark			
Context?										
12. Information c	n contex	t (may or	may not apply to e	ach HT	A).					
(Medico-) legal		conomic		Ethical So					perspectives	
implications considered?		nalysis		implications implications considered?				stakeholders, patients, onsumers) considered?		
-	pi				consider √	eur	-			
What then?							Yes	Pa	artly	No
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?							\checkmark			
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							\checkmark			
15. Suggestions for further action?										

Version 4. July 2007

Copyright INAHTA Secretariat 2007